

## **Remarks**

Claims 3, 4 and 14 are amended.

New claims 32-49 are added.

Claims 3-5, 7, 14, 17 and 20-49 are now present in this application.

There are now 36 claims present. A fee letter is attached for the additional claims.

The specification is amended to update the reference to the parent.

The present claims are amended to delete non-diketopyrrolopyrrole (DPP) pigments.

The last paragraph of claim 3 is amended to be more clear. Support is found in the specification, page 4, lines 13-16.

Claims 3 and 14 are amended to insert "the" before "pigment" in reference to the weight of the colorant.

Claim 3 is also amended to alter the upper range of the colorant from 0.2 to 0.25 parts by weight, per part by weight of the pigment. Support is found in the working Examples 1 and 2.

New claims 32-49 correspond to claims 3-5, 7, 14, 17 and 20-31 respectively.

No new matter is added with the present amendments.

## **Claim Rejections**

Claims 3-5, 7, 14, 17 and 20-31 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 3-5, 7, 14, 15 and 17 of copending app. No. 10,100,602, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,790,884.

The non-DPP pigments are no longer present in the instant claims.

In light of the present amendments, Applicants submit that the obviousness-type double patenting rejections are addressed and are overcome.

Claims 3-5, 7, 14, 17 and 20-31 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, for reasons of record.

The Examiner states that "per part by weight of pigment" is unclear. The present claims are amended to be more clear in this respect as discussed above.

In light of the present amendments, Applicants submit that the 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejections are addressed and are overcome.

Claims 3-5, 7, 14 and 17 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaffe, et al., U.S. Pat. No. 4,810,304.


Again, as non-DPP pigments are removed by amendment, the limitations of the present claims cannot be met by the disclosure of Jaffe. Further, the clarification of the proviso of claim 3 also obviates these rejections.

In light of the present amendments and remarks, Applicants submit that the present claim rejections are addressed and are overcome.

The Examiner is kindly requested to reconsider and to withdraw the present rejections.

Applicants submit that the present claims are now in condition for allowance and respectfully request that they be found allowable.

Respectfully submitted,



Tyler A. Stevenson  
Agent for Applicants  
Reg. No. 46,388

Ciba Specialty Chemicals Corp.  
540 White Plains Road  
P.O. Box 2005  
Tarrytown, NY 10591-9005  
Tel. (914)785-2783  
Fax (914)785-7102

Attachment: Fee Letter

**SEP 24 2004**